

From: simon ridgewell [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, January 29, 2024 5:02 PM
To: Medworth <Medworth@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: Reply to secretary of state 29 /01/2023

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Interstead party number 20032414

Hello I wish to bring to the attention of the secretary of state in reply to medworth CHP limited reply ,there reply in section 4 about any contracts or Interest in using the energy or steam from the proposed incinerator ,clearly the reply failed to asked the question posed to themselves ,the secretary of state ask medworth CHP of lambs Weston and nestle pruina ,medworth CHP reply was basically nothing ,they have no Interest ,no contracts from any local factory ,infact many have signs to say no incinerator to be built ,surely this alone is enough to satisfy the plans to be rejected ,and still they can not show anyone where they looked at alternative sites ,.they mention nothing about the local school .

Medworth CHP limited reply and plan of newbridge lane are poor at best and in reality and going to be complete hazard to life ,it's called a lane for a reason ,there's plans are minimal and weak ,i wish the secretary of state to really think of the consequences if this ia allowed to be built ,

So to summarize in reply to 29/01/2024

Medworth CHP has no contracts or interesterst in firms using its energy or steam ,the trade of for local companies is not worth it ,with increase in traffic and burning of rubbish in the centre of a town ,next to school ..it's surely madness to let a incinerator to be built then hope local companies will use the energy amd steam .

Medworth CHP has shown minimum effort of looking at different sites ,and the newbridge lane plans are pure crazy ,